Supplementary Note 2

1 Derivation of the fractional DNA occupancy as a function of buffered metal concentration

1.1 Chemical equilibria and mass balance

In the following system:

$$P+M \stackrel{K_1}{\rightleftharpoons} PM,$$
 $K_1 = \frac{[PM]}{[P][M]},$

$$PD + M \xrightarrow{K_2} PMD$$
, $K_2 = \frac{[PMD]}{[PD][M]}$,

$$P+D \stackrel{K_3}{\rightleftharpoons} PD,$$
 $K_3 = \frac{[PD]}{[P][D]},$

$$PM + D \stackrel{K_4}{\rightleftharpoons} PMD,$$
 $K_4 = \frac{[PMD]}{[PM|[D]}$

The constants K_{1-4} are connected by the following relationship

$$K_3=\frac{K_1}{K_2}K_4.$$

The fraction of DNA bound to sensor protein (θ_D) and the sub-fraction bound solely to metalated sensor protein (θ_{DM}) are defined at each metal concentration as:

$$\theta_{\rm D} = \frac{[PD] + [PMD]}{[D_{\rm T}]},\tag{1}$$

$$\theta_{\rm DM} = \frac{[PMD]}{[D_{\rm T}]},\tag{2}$$

where

$$[D_{\mathrm{T}}] = [D] + [PD] + [PMD]$$

 $[D_{\rm T}]$ is the concentration of DNA targets and its value is independent of the buffered metal concentration [M]. At any given buffered metal concentration, the total protein concentration $[P_{\rm T}]$ is:

$$[P_{\rm T}] = [P] + [PM] + [PD] + [PMD].$$

The protein abundance and fractional DNA occupancy are both dependent on [M]. Relating linearly $[P_T]$ and θ_D for co-repressors and de-repressors:

$$\frac{[P_{\rm T}] - [P_0]}{[P_1] - [P_0]} = \frac{\theta_{\rm D} - \theta_{\rm D0}}{\theta_{\rm D1} - \theta_{\rm D0}},\tag{3}$$

where $[P_0]$ and θ_{D0} are the total protein concentration and the fractional DNA occupancy at low cognate metal concentration, respectively, and $[P_1]$ and θ_{D1} are the equivalent values calculated high cognate

metal concentration. An equivalent equation can be written for CueR and ZntR-like activators, with a linear relationship between $[P_T]$ and θ_{DM} :

$$\frac{[P_{\rm T}] - [P_0]}{[P_1] - [P_0]} = \frac{\theta_{\rm DM} - \theta_{\rm DM0}}{\theta_{\rm DM1} - \theta_{\rm DM0}}.$$
(4)

The numerical values of θ_{D0} , θ_{D1} , θ_{DM0} and θ_{DM1} can (in the first instance, see 1.3.2 and 1.3.4) be derived from the computational approach we recently developed¹³.

1.2 Variables

To simplify derivation, variables and constants are renamed as follows:

$$x = [P],$$
 $v = [PD],$ $a = K_1,$ $y = [M],$ $w = [PMD],$ $b = K_2,$ $z = [D],$ $P_T = [P_T],$ $c = K_3,$ $D_T = [D_T],$ $P_0 = [P_0],$ $d = K_4.$ $u = [PM],$ $P_1 = [P_1],$

1.3 Derivation of equations

Here the equations expressing θ_D and θ_{DM} as a function of the buffered metal concentration y are derived. From the chemical equilibria and mass balances the following relationships between variables are obtained:

$$a = \frac{u}{xy},\tag{5}$$

$$b = \frac{w}{vy},\tag{6}$$

$$d = \frac{w}{zu},\tag{7}$$

$$D_{\mathrm{T}} = z + v + w,\tag{8}$$

$$P_{\rm T} = x + u + v + w = x + u + D_{\rm T} - z. \tag{9}$$

Using (5), (6) and (7), u and w can be expressed as:

$$u = axy, w = bvy = duz. (10)$$

With (8) v can be determined:

$$D_{\rm T} = z + v + w = z + v + duz \implies v = D_{\rm T} - z - duz.$$

By substituting v into (10) z can be expressed as

$$byv = by(D_{T} - z - duz) = duz,$$

$$z = \frac{D_{T}by}{du + by + bduy}.$$

1.3.1 Derivation of equation to determine θ_D for co-repressors and de-repressors

Equation (3) can be rewritten as

$$P_{\rm T} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\rm D}} \theta_{\rm D} + A_{\rm D},\tag{11}$$

where

$$\Delta P = P_1 - P_0,$$

$$\Delta\theta_{\rm D} = \theta_{\rm D1} - \theta_{\rm D0},$$

$$A_{\rm D} = P_0 - \frac{\theta_{\rm D0}\Delta P}{\Delta\theta_{\rm D}}.$$
(12)

The definition of θ_D from (1) can be rearranged as:

$$\theta_{\rm D} = \frac{v + w}{D_{\rm T}} = 1 - \frac{z}{D_{\rm T}},\tag{13}$$

and substituted into (11), giving:

$$P_{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{D}}} \theta_{\mathrm{D}} + A_{\mathrm{D}},$$

$$x + u + D_{\mathrm{T}} - z = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{D}}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{D_{\mathrm{T}}} \right) + A_{\mathrm{D}},$$

$$x + u - C_{1}z = C_{2}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$C_1 = 1 - \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\rm D} \cdot D_{\rm T}},\tag{15}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\rm D}} + A_{\rm D} - D_{\rm T}. \tag{16}$$

z and u can be substituted into (14) to find x

$$x + axy - C_1 \cdot \frac{D_T by}{adxy + by + abdxy^2} = C_2,$$

$$x(1 + ay)(adx + b + abdxy) - C_1 D_T b = C_2(adx + b + abdxy),$$

$$x^2(1 + ay)(ad + abdy) + x[b(1 + ay) - C_2(ad + abdy)] - C_1 D_T b - C_2 b = 0.$$
(17)

Equation (17) expresses the variable x (corresponding to [P]) as a function of y, the buffered metal concentration [M]. It can be rewritten, introducing the coefficients α, β, γ , as

$$\alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma = 0,$$

where

$$\alpha = (1 + ay)(ad + abdy),$$

$$\beta = b(1 + ay) - C_2(ad + abdy),$$

$$\gamma = -b(C_1D_T + C_2).$$

By substituting the numerical values of the constants, it is noticed that, for any given value of y, α is positive and γ is negative. The two solutions of the quadratic equation, x_1 and x_2 , are linked by the relationship

$$x_1x_2=\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$$

As $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ < 0, one of the two solutions is negative and hence is meaningless. Therefore the positive solution is retained

$$x = \frac{-\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha}. (18)$$

Finally, fractional DNA occupancy θ_D can be derived as a function of the buffered metal concentration only as follows

$$\theta_{D} = 1 - \frac{z}{D_{T}}$$

$$\theta_{D} = 1 - \frac{by}{du + by + bduy}$$

$$\theta_{D} = 1 - \frac{b}{b + (ad + abdy)x}.$$
(19)

Equation (19) can be solved using an electronic spreadsheet to calculate θ_D given a range of buffered metal concentrations (y), considering that the relationship between x and y is given by (18) (Supplementary Dataset).

1.3.2 Calculation of θ_{D0} and θ_{D1} (an alternative approach)

A simplified form of equation (19) can be used to calculate numerical values of θ_{D0} and θ_{D1} (3) from an electronic spreadsheet (Supplementary Dataset).

1.3.3 Derivation of equation to determine $\theta_{ m DM}$

Equation (4) can then be rewritten as

$$P_{\rm T} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\rm DM}} \theta_{\rm DM} + A_{\rm DM},\tag{20}$$

where

$$\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{DM}} = \theta_{\mathrm{DM1}} - \theta_{\mathrm{DM0}},$$

$$A_{\mathrm{DM}} = P_0 - \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{DM0}} \Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{DM}}}.$$

and then using (9) and (2)

$$x + u + D_{\mathrm{T}} - z = B \frac{w}{D_{\mathrm{T}}} + A_{\mathrm{DM}},$$

where

$$B = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\rm DM}}.$$
 (21)

w, z and u can be substituted to find x

$$x + axy - \frac{bD_{T}}{adx + b + abdxy} - \frac{B \cdot abdxy}{adx + b + abdxy} = A_{DM} - D_{T},$$

$$x^{2}(1 + ay)(ad + abdy) + x[b(1 + ay) - Babdy - (A_{DM} - D_{T})(ad + abdy)] - bA_{DM} = 0.$$
 (22)

Similarly to the previous case, equation (22) can be rewritten as

$$\lambda x^2 + \mu x + \nu = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda = (1+ay)(ad+abdy),$$

$$\mu = b(1+ay) - Babdy - (A_{DM} - D_T)(ad+abdy),$$

$$v = -bA_{DM}.$$

Also in this case, $\lambda > 0$ and $\nu < 0$ for any given value of y, the positive solution of the quadratic equation is

$$x = \frac{-\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4\lambda \nu}}{2\lambda}. (23)$$

The expression of $\theta_{\rm DM}$ as a function of y, the buffered metal concentration, is then

$$\theta_{\rm DM} = \frac{w}{D_{\rm T}}$$

$$\theta_{\rm DM} = \frac{duz}{D_{\rm T}}$$

$$\theta_{\rm DM} = \frac{abdxy}{b + (ad + abdy)x}.$$
(24)

Equation (24) can be solved using an electronic spreadsheet to calculate θ_{DM} given a [M] (y) range, considering that the relationship between x and y is given by equation (23) (Supplementary Dataset).

1.3.4 Calculation of $\theta_{\rm DM0}$ and $\theta_{\rm DM1}$ (an alternative approach)

A simplified form of equation (24) can be used to calculate numerical values of θ_{DM0} and θ_{DM1} (4) from an electronic spreadsheet (Supplementary Dataset).

2 Calculation of fractional DNA occupancy at different salt concentrations

DNA affinities have a log-log dependence on salt concentration^{29,30}. Apo-Zur, Zn(II)-Zur and Ni(II)-NikR DNA affinities were experimentally determined at various salt concentrations, and the mean of the regression lines of $log K_{DNA}$ vs. log[salt] plots was used to calculate DNA affinities for the other sensors at 500 mM salt from the values in Table 1 measured at 300 mM. With the K_3 or K_4 values at 500 mM salt, fractional DNA occupancies θ_D and θ_{DM} were calculated using equations (19) and (24).

3 Derivation of fractional DNA occupancy as a function of buffered metal concentration considering sensor binding to non-specific DNA

An excess of non-specific DNA competes *in vivo* with the specific consensus sequences for sensor binding. To incorporate non-specific DNA, here represented as D^* , in the model it is necessary to introduce two additional reactions to the system presented in section 1.1:

$$P + D^* \xrightarrow{K_3^*} PD^*,$$
 $K_3^* = \frac{[PD^*]}{[P][D^*]},$

$$PM + D^* \stackrel{K_4^*}{\rightleftharpoons} PMD^*, \qquad \qquad K_4^* = \frac{[PMD^*]}{[PM][D^*]}.$$

The total concentration of non-specific DNA binding sites, $[D_T^*]$, can be calculated by dividing the concentration of available non-specific DNA base pairs (10^{-4} M bp in $E.\ coli^{26}$) by the average length of DNA binding sequences used as specific targets (33 bp). The mass balance for non-specific DNA is

$$[D_{\mathrm{T}}^*] = [D^*] + [PD^*] + [PMD^*],$$

while the mass balance for the protein, incorporating sensor binding to non-specific DNA, is now

$$[P_{\mathrm{T}}] = [P] + [PM] + [PD] + [PMD] + [PD^*] + [PMD^*].$$

The affinities of Zur for non-specific DNA, K_3^* and K_4^* , were experimentally measured on the *nixA* promoter at 100 mM salt. Non-specific DNA affinities at 300 mM salt were calculated from the slope of the regression line of the Zur $\log K_{DNA}$ vs $\log[\text{salt}]$ and the (small) coupling free energy on non-specific DNA $\left(\Delta G_c^* = -RT \ln\left(\frac{K_4^*}{K_3^*}\right)\right)$ was determined for Zur. The non-specific DNA affinities of the other sensors were estimated by maintaining the same proportion between ΔG_c^* and ΔG_c and with K_3^* and K_4^* flanking K_3 for co-repressors and flanking K_4 for the de-repressor and activators (K_3 or K_4 respectively defining the midpoint on a logarithmic scale between K_3^* and K_4^*).

The fraction of specific DNA sites bound to sensor protein (θ_D) and the sub-fraction bound solely to metalated sensor protein (θ_{DM}) are defined at each metal concentration by equations (1) and (2). For co-repressors and de-repressors the total protein concentration [P_T] relates linearly to θ_D as expressed in equation (3), for CueR and ZntR-like activators the relationship between [P_T] and θ_{DM} is given in equation (4).

3.1 Variables

In addition to the variables presented in 1.2, the additional variables and constants are renamed as follows to simplify computation:

$$e = K_3^*,$$
 $h = [D^*]$
 $f = K_4^*,$ $i = [PD^*]$
 $g = K_2^*,$ $j = [PMD^*]$
 $D_T^* = [D_T^*].$

3.2 Derivation of equations

From the chemical equilibria and mass balances the following relationships are derived:

$$e = \frac{i}{xh},\tag{25}$$

$$f = \frac{j}{uh},\tag{26}$$

$$D_{\mathrm{T}}^* = h + i + j,\tag{27}$$

$$P_{\rm T} = x + u + v + w + i + j = x + u + D_{\rm T} - z + D_{\rm T}^* - h.$$
 (28)

Using (25) and (26), i and j can be expressed as

$$i = exh, j = fuh (29)$$

and h can then be derived from (27)

$$h = \frac{D_{\rm T}^*}{1 + ex + fu}$$

3.2.1 Derivation of equation to determine $\theta_{\rm D}$ for co-repressors and de-repressors

Equation (11) can be rewritten using (13) and (28) as

$$P_{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{D}}} \theta_{\mathrm{D}} + A_{\mathrm{D}},$$

$$x + u + D_{\rm T} - z + D_{\rm T}^* - h = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\rm D}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{D_{\rm T}} \right) + A_{\rm D},$$

$$x + u - C_1 z - h = C_2^*,$$
(30)

where

$$C_1 = 1 - \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \theta_{\rm D} \cdot D_{\rm T}},$$

$$C_2^* = rac{\Delta P}{\Delta heta_{
m D}} + A_{
m D} - D_{
m T} - D_{
m T}^*.$$

The variables u, z and h can be substitued into (30) to find x

$$x + axy - C_1 \cdot \frac{D_T by}{adxy + by + abdxy^2} - \frac{D_T^*}{1 + ex + afxy} = C_2^*,$$

$$x^{3}(1+ay)(ad+abdy)(e+afy) + x^{2} \left\{ (1+ay)(ad+abdy) + \left[b(1+ay) - C_{2}^{*}(ad+abdy) \right] (e+afy) \right\} + x \left[b(1+ay) - C_{2}^{*}(ad+abdy) - b(C_{1}D_{T} + C_{2}^{*})(e+afy) - D_{T}^{*}(ad+abdy) \right] - b(C_{1}D_{T} + C_{2}^{*} + D_{T}^{*})$$

$$(31)$$

Equation (31) expresses the variable x (corresponding to [P]) as a function of y, the buffered metal concentration [M]. It is possible to numerically solve the cubic equation using an electronic spreadsheet or a computing environment. In all the cases examined a positive and two negative solutions were obtained and the positive solution was retained to calculate θ_D from equation (19). Due to the complex analysis required to solve the cubic equation, a Supplementary Dataset in not provided.

3.2.2 Calculation of θ_{D0} and θ_{D1}

A simplified form of equation (31) can be used to calculate numerical values of θ_{D0} and θ_{D1} (3) from an electronic spreadsheet or a computing environment.

3.2.3 Derivation of equation to determine $\theta_{\rm DM}$

Equation (20) can be rewritten using (2), (10) and (28) as

$$x + u + D_{\rm T} - z + D_{\rm T}^* - h = B \frac{w}{D_{\rm T}} + A_{\rm DM},$$
 (32)

where is expressed by equation (21). The variables u, z and h can be substitued into (32) to find x

$$x + axy + D_{T} - \frac{D_{T}by}{adxy + by + abdxy^{2}} + D_{T}^{*} - \frac{D_{T}^{*}}{1 + ex + afxy} = B \frac{abdxy^{2}}{adxy + by + abdxy^{2}} + A_{DM}$$

$$x^{3}(1+ay)(ad+abdy)(e+afy) + x^{2}\left\{(1+ay)(ad+abdy) + \left[b(1+ay) - Babdy - (A_{DM} - D_{T} - D_{T}^{*})(ad+abdy)\right](e+afy)\right\} + x\left[b(1+ay) - Babdy - (A_{DM} - D_{T} - D_{T}^{*})(ad+abdy) - b(A_{DM} - D_{T}^{*})(e+afy) - D_{T}^{*}(ad+abdy)\right] - bA_{DM} = 0$$
(33)

Equation (33) can be numerically solved using an electronic spreadsheet or a computing environment. In all the cases examined a positive and two negative solutions were obtained and the positive solution was used to calculate θ_{DM} from equation (24). Due to the complex analysis required to solve the cubic equation, a Supplementary Dataset in not provided.

3.2.4 Calculation of $\theta_{\rm DM0}$ and $\theta_{\rm DM1}$

A simplified form of equation (33) can be used to calculate numerical values of θ_{DM0} and θ_{DM1} (3) from an electronic spreadsheet or a computing environment.

4 Derivation of the relationship between total metal bound to buffer and buffered metal concentration

The buffered metal system is described as

$$B + M \stackrel{K_5}{\rightleftharpoons} BM, \qquad K_5 = \frac{[BM]}{[B][M]}$$
 (34)

The concentration of buffering species, $[B_T]$, and the total metal concentration in the buffer system, $[M_T]$, are:

$$[M_{\rm T}] = [M] + [BM] \tag{35}$$

$$[B_{\mathsf{T}}] = [B] + [BM] \tag{36}$$

From equations (35) and (36), [BM] and [B] can be derived and substituted into equation (34):

$$[BM] = [M_{\mathrm{T}}] - [M]$$

$$[B] = [B_{\rm T}] - [BM] = [B_{\rm T}] - [M_{\rm T}] + [M]$$

$$K_5 = \frac{[M_{\rm T}] - [M]}{([B_{\rm T}] - [M_{\rm T}] + [M])[M]}.$$
(37)

Equation (37) can be rearranged to express $[M_T]$ as a function of [M]

$$[M_{\rm T}] = \frac{K_5[M]^2 + (K_5[B_{\rm T}] + 1)[M]}{1 + K_5[M]}.$$
 (38)

An example of the use of this relationship is shown in Figure 4b.

References

- Record, M. T., Jr., Ha, J. H. & Fisher, M. A. Analysis of equilibrium and kinetic measurements to determine thermodynamic origins of stability and specificity and mechanism of formation of site-specific complexes between proteins and helical DNA. *Methods in Enzymol.* **208**, 291-343 (1991).
- Campanello, G. C. et al. Allosteric inhibition of a zinc-sensing transcriptional repressor: insights into the arsenic repressor (ArsR) family. *J. Mol. Biol.* **425**, 1143-1157 (2013).